

# International tax - Tax treaties and developments of BEPS action plan 6

By CPA Jared Maranga

16 August 2019



# **Agenda**

Credibility. Professionalism. Accountability

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Tax planning objectives
- 3. Action Plan 6
- 4. DTA Structure
- 5. Key provisions
- 6. Case Review
- 7. Q & A



#### Introduction



- Double tax agreements
- Double taxation? or Double non –taxation? who bears the incident of taxation.
- Economic double taxation? or Juridical double taxation? where is the tax burden directed to.
- Why concerns on double taxation or non taxation?
  - Discourages international trade;
  - Discourage foreign direct investment; and
  - Slows economic growth
- What is the remedy? Double tax agreements (DTA)



#### Introduction



DTAs – international tax instrument, mostly bi lateral in nature aimed at allocating taxation rights between multiple jurisdictions through:

- Minimising instances of double taxation and non taxation
- Encourage exchange of tax information
- Promote foreign direct investment
- Kenya's DTA network 14 DTAs with France, Germany, India, Iran, Norway, South Africa, Sweden, United Kingdom, Zambia, United Arab Emirates, Qatar, South Korea, Denmark and Canada
- Provision of the DTA are supreme to the domestic laws where there is a conflict Vienna convention Art. 27
- What could be the place of DTAs in the digital economy?



## **Tax planning objectives**



- What is the aim of tax planning and what do you look at?
  - At investments physical presence, deductibility of expenses and transfer pricing
  - Cross border transfer fees, dividends or profits, foreign exchange controls
  - Effective tax rates for the group Ultimate parent
- How can DTAs help in tax planning?
- 3Ds of tax planning (Divert (spread the functional risks), Deduct (take advantage of reduced rates or exemptions as the case may be) or Defer put on hold the intended moves)
- The aggressive tax planning around DTAs necessitated the Action plan 6 aimed at preventing tax treaty abuse



### **BEPS Action plan 6**



- Proposes specific rules and recommendations to address other forms of treaty abuse (
   Treaty abuse practices treaty shopping, round tripping)
- Incorporates minimum standard that contracting should implement at the negotiation process:
- Inclusion of express statement that their common intention is to eliminate double taxation
  without creating opportunities for non-taxation or reduced taxation through tax evasion or
  avoidance, including through treaty-shopping arrangements.
- Contracting states have also committed to include at least 1 of the ant treaty shopping clauses below:
  - Principal purpose test (PPT) plus a simplified version of limitation of benefit rule
  - PPT alone or
  - Detailed version of LOB



## **BEPS Action plan 6**



- What has Kenya done to curb the instances of abuse ..? (Section 41(5) limitation of benefit rule)- Is this enough?
- Is there need for states to renegotiate DTAs?



### **DTA Structure**



• Standard DTA has about 31/32 articles, grouped according to their functions

Article Range	Function
1-2	Beneficiaries of the DTA, and taxes covered.`
3-5	Definitions of specific terms utilised in the DTA.
6-21	Taxation of income - critical
22	Taxation of capital
23	Elimination of double taxation –relief
24-30	Special provisions (MAP exchange of information)
31- 32	Final provisions- termination and entry into force



## **Key provisions**



- Art. 5 Permanent establishments discussed earlier
- Art. 7 Business profits
- Profits of an enterprise of a contracting state shall be taxable only in that state unless the enterprise carries on business in the other state through a Permanent establishment.
- Management & professional fees, royalties, dividends and interest subjected to withholding tax often DTA offer preferential rates.
- Only profits attributable to the PE are taxable in the contracting state



#### **Case review**



- Mumbai v. Morgan Stanley & Co case went AAR & went to the high court
  - If MS & Co. have a PE in India through the arrangement;
  - If the method used for transfer pricing between MS & Co. and MSAS was the most appropriate method, and was the price paid at arm's length; and
  - If there is a PE in India, would there be anything further attributable to the PE if the PE was compensated on an arm's length basis.
- Commissioner of Income Tax vs Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd on 18 May, 2007





# **Next steps**

Should you have any questions please let us know

Jared Maranga <u>jmaranga@grapheneeconomics.com</u>

Senior Manager +254 720 799 410

This presentation has been prepared by Graphene Economics<sup>TM</sup> for general information purposes only. It is by no means intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice.

Graphene Economics<sup>™</sup> is an African transfer pricing specialist firm that offers strategic advice, industry and regulatory insights, benchmarking, risk analysis, controversy support and documentation

For more information, visit grapheneeconomics.com

